

Immigration and Refugee Board of Canada

ILSAKA/

Name:

Commission de l'immigration et du statut de réfugié du Canada

Immigration Appeal Division Section d'appel de l'immigration

Western Region Library Square Suite 1600 300 West Georgia St. Vancouver, BC V6B 6C9 Telephone: (604) 666-5946 Toll Free: 1-866-787-7472

Facsimile: (604) 666-3043

IAD File Number: VB3-02908 Client ID: 54311759

STATEMENT THAT A DOCUMENT WAS PROVIDED

On July 22 200 provided the Notice of Decision & Summary of Agreement		
To the appellant at the following address:		
Paramjit Singh Girn 8645 112A Street Delta, BC V4C 4Z4	Personal Service: Prepaid Regular Mail: Courier: Fax:	
To the appellant's counsel at the following addre	ess:	
Amandeep Khaira, Cwc Immigration #209 - 13049, 76 Avenue Surrey, BC V3W 3V7	Personal Service: Prepaid Regular Mail: Courier: Fax:	
To the Minister's counsel at the following addre	ss:	
Canada Border Services Agency Enforcement and Intelligence Division Inland Enforcement Section Suite 700, 300 West Georgia Street, Vancouver, BC V6B 6C8 Attn: Hearings and Appeals	Personal Service: Prepaid Regular Mail: Courier: Fax:	
(Signature)		•

IMMIGRATION AND REFUGEE BOARD OF CANADA

IMMIGRATION APPEAL DIVISION



COMMISSION DE L'IMMIGRATION ET DU STATUT DE RÉFUGIÉ DU CANADA

SECTION D'APPEL DE L'IMMIGRATION

Conseil de l'intimé

IAD File No. / N° dossier de la SAI: VB3-02908 Client ID No. / N° ID client: 54311759

Appelant(s) Paramiit Singh Girn Appellant(s) The Minister of Citizenship and Immigration Intimé Respondent Le ministre de la Citoyenneté et de l'Immigration Date(s) et Lieu July 15, 2014 Date(s) and Place de la Procéder Vancouver, BC of Proceeding Date de la July 21, 2014 **Date of Decision** Décision Tribunal Douglas Fortney **Panel** Conseil de Amandeep Khaira Appellant's Counsel l'appelant(s)

NOTICE OF DECISION

Stephanie Naqvi

Sponsorship

Member's Decision: After reviewing the consent and joint recommendation of both parties, as indicated in the signed Summary of Agreement, and considering the information provided in this appeal, I am satisfied that this appeal should be allowed. The officer's decision to refuse a permanent resident visa is set aside, and the officer must continue processing the application in accordance with the reasons of the Immigration Appeal Division, as set out in the Summary of Agreement.

Registrar's Certification: I certify that this is the decision of the member in this appeal.

Certified True Copy Copie Conforme

Minister's Counsel

TRB Representative Représentative de la CISR For Registrar

July 22, 2014

Judicial Review — Under section 72 of the *Immigration and Refugee Protection Act*, you may make an application to the Federal Court for judicial review of this decision, with leave of that Court. You may wish to get advice from counsel as soon as possible, since there are time limits for this application

Contrôle judiciaire – Aux termes de l'article 72 de la Loi sur l'immigration et la protection des réfugiés, vous pouvez, avec l'autorisation de la Cour fédérale, présenter une demande de contrôle judiciaire de la décision rendue. Veuillez consulter un conseil sans tarder car cette demande doit être faite dans un délai précis.



Immigration and Refugee Board of Canada

Immigration Appeal Division

La Commission de l'immigration et du statut de réfugié du Canada

Section d'appel de L'immigration Library Square 300 W Georgia St Suite 1600 Vancouver, BC V6B 6C9 Telephone: (604) 666-5946 Facsimile: (604) 666-3043

ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION

SUMMARY OF AGREEMENT OF THE PARTIES

Appellant: Paramjit S. Girn IAD File No.: VB3-02908

Client ID #: 5431-1759

This appeal is from a refusal on the grounds that the sponsor does not meet the income requirements for the sponsorship of his father and mother from India. The sponsor's family size is four (4) including the appellant, his spouse, and his father and mother.

The parties jointly recommend to the Immigration Appeal Division that this appeal be allowed as there are sufficient humanitarian and compassionate grounds to warrant special relief; the parties agree that the following is the basis upon which they consent to the appeal being allowed.

- 1) The appellant sponsored his parents in August 2007 when he was divorced. At that time it appears that he met the minimum necessary income requirements for a family of three. The appellant was married in July 2011 and has now submitted documentary evidence showing both his and his spouse's income. Although the appellant doesn't meet the low income requirements on his own for four person, when his spouse's income is added, they have met the requirements for two years of the last four years. (2011, 2013). The shortfall for 2012 was minimal.
- 2) The appellant is the only son of his parents therefore he feels a cultural responsibility to care for his parents.
- 3) The appellant and his wife own their own home and are both working. The appellant has a Safety supply business and his wife works for Coastal Health.
- 4) The future plans are for the applicant's to reside with the appellant and his wife. The appellant shared that his father would be able to assist him with his safety supply business.

The refusal is valid in law, however, the Minister's counsel recommends that the appeal be allowed on humanitarian and compassionate grounds.

Appellant's Counsel: A. Khaira

Date: July 15, 2014

Appeal allowed:

ADC/IAD Member

July 21, 2014

Date